

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,996	1	2/26/2000	Shoji Goto	001717	1382
38834	7590	03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
		TTORI, DANIEL	PERILLA, JASON M		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b></b>	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2634	L
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/745,996	GOTO, SHOJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication con	Jason M Perilla	2634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 December 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This action is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the practice of the condition is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the condition of the condition of</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7,8,12,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9-11,13 and 14</u> is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- The information disclosure statement (IDS) received on February 20, 2001
   (paper no. 2 in the file) is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.
   Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.
- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) received on August 5, 2002 (paper no. 6 in the file) is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said part of samples" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said rest of samples" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation "said predetermined number" in lines 8 and 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by –said predetermined number of storage circuits--.

Art Unit: 2634

7. Claim 2 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 8. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number" in line 11.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number of correlation value calculating means" in line 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said predetermined number" in lines 8 and 10.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by —said predetermined number of storage circuits—.
- 11. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 12. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said first predetermined number" in lines 8 and 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by –said predetermined number of storage circuits--.
- 13. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2634

14. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number" in line 30. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 15. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number of correlation value calculating means" in lines 35 and 57. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 16. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said part of samples" in line 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 17. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said rest of samples" in line 27. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 18. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said predetermined number" in lines 15 and 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by –said predetermined number of storage circuits--.
- 19. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 20. Claim 12 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number" in line 18.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 21. Claim 12 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number of correlation value calculating means" in line 23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2634

22. Claim 13 recites the limitation "said predetermined number" in lines 15 and 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by –said predetermined number of storage circuits--.

- 23. Claim 13 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 24. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said first predetermined number" in lines 15 and 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation, "said predetermined number" should be replaced so that the claim is not indefinite. The examiner notes that "said predetermined number" may be replaced by –said predetermined number of storage circuits--.
- 25. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said storage circuits" in line 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 26. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number" in line 37. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 27. Claim 15 recites the limitation "said second predetermined number of correlation value calculating means" in lines 42 and 60. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 28. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 09/745,996

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

Regarding claim 2, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 15 should be replaced by –storage circuits at *a* predetermined timing-- to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 18.

Regarding claim 4, "a second predetermined number of groups" is provided for in line 7. However, there is no antecedent basis for a first predetermined number of groups. The examiner notes that there is a first predetermined number of samples, but not a first predetermined number of groups. Hence, it is suggested by the examiner that "a second predetermined number of groups" is replaced by —a first predetermined number of groups--.

Regarding claim 5, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 15 should be replaced by –storage circuits at *a* predetermined timing—to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 18.

Regarding claim 7, "a second predetermined number of groups" is provided for in line 30. However, there is no antecedent basis for a first predetermined number of groups. The examiner notes that there is a first predetermined number of storage circuits, but not a first predetermined number of groups. Hence, it is suggested by the examiner that "a second predetermined number of groups" is replaced by –a first predetermined number of groups—.

Regarding claim 7, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 18 should be replaced by –storage circuits at a predetermined timing-- to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 21.

Regarding claim 9, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 22 should be replaced by –storage circuits at *a* predetermined timing-- to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 24.

Regarding claim 12, "a second predetermined number of groups" is provided for in line 14. However, there is no antecedent basis for a first predetermined number of groups. The examiner notes that there is a first predetermined number of samples, but not a first predetermined number of groups. Hence, it is suggested by the examiner that "a second predetermined number of groups" is replaced by —a first predetermined number of groups—.

Regarding claim 13, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 22 should be replaced by –storage circuits at *a* predetermined timing—to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 24.

Regarding claim 15, "a second predetermined number of groups" is provided for in line 17. However, there is no antecedent basis for a first predetermined number of groups. The examiner notes that there is a first predetermined number of storage circuits, but not a first predetermined number of groups. Hence, it is suggested by the examiner that "a second predetermined number of groups" is replaced by —a first predetermined number of groups—.

Regarding claim 15, "storage circuits at predetermined timing" of line 25 should be replaced by –storage circuits at a predetermined timing-- to provide clear antecedent basis for "said predetermined timing" of line 28.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

29. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

30. Claims 4, 7-8, 12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 4, the "correlation value calculating means in said second predetermined number " in line 11 is not enabled by the specification. Even if the said second predetermined number is understood to be said second predetermined number of groups, the specification does not describe correlation value calculating means *in* said second predetermined number of groups. One skilled in the art does is not enabled by the specification to make or use a correlation value calculating means *in* a said second predetermined number of groups.

Further regarding claim 4, the examiner suggests the replacement of lines 11-14 in claim 4 with:

--a plurality of correlation value calculating means provided respectively corresponding to said first predetermined number of groups each for calculating a correlation value between samples of a corresponding group and said spreading code sequence--.

Regarding claim 7, the "correlation value calculating means in said second predetermined number " in line 30 is not enabled by the specification. Even if the said second predetermined number is understood to be said second predetermined number of groups, the specification does not describe correlation value calculating means *in* said second predetermined number of groups. One skilled in the art does is not enabled by the specification to make or use a correlation value calculating means *in* a said second predetermined number of groups.

Further regarding claim 7, the examiner suggests the replacement of lines 30-35 in claim 7 with:

--a plurality of correlation value calculating means provided respectively corresponding to said first predetermined number of groups each for calculating a correlation value between samples of a corresponding group and said spreading code sequence--.

Regarding claim 8, claim 8 is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected parent claim.

Regarding claim 12, the "correlation value calculating means in said second predetermined number " in line 18 is not enabled by the specification. Even if the said second predetermined number is understood to be said second predetermined number of groups, the specification does not describe correlation value calculating means *in* said second predetermined number of groups. One skilled in the art does is not enabled by the specification to make or use a correlation value calculating means *in* a said second predetermined number of groups.

Art Unit: 2634

Further regarding claim 12, the examiner suggests the replacement of lines 18-21 in claim 12 with:

--a plurality of correlation value calculating means provided respectively corresponding to said first predetermined number of groups each for calculating a correlation value between samples of a corresponding group and said spreading code sequence--.

Regarding claim 15, the "correlation value calculating means in said second predetermined number " in line 37 is not enabled by the specification. Even if the said second predetermined number is understood to be said second predetermined number of groups, the specification does not describe correlation value calculating means *in* said second predetermined number of groups. One skilled in the art does is not enabled by the specification to make or use a correlation value calculating means *in* a said second predetermined number of groups.

Further regarding claim 15, the examiner suggests the replacement of lines 37-41 in claim 15 with:

--a plurality of correlation value calculating means provided respectively corresponding to said first predetermined number of groups each for calculating a correlation value between samples of a corresponding group and said spreading code sequence--

Regarding claim 16, claim 16 is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected parent claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2634

31. Indication of allowable subject matter is made regarding claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-11, 13, and 14.

32. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art references of power saving digital matched filters do not execute the same power saving technique of the instant application. In particular, the references of the prior art do not disclose the division of a shift register into more than one sequence of registers wherein the register groups preceding the first register group are only activated if the first register group meets a predetermined correlation threshold indicating a good possibility of a match. Although other power saving techniques are utilized by the prior art, they do not disclose the same invention as disclosed in the instant application.

#### Conclusion

- 33. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art of record is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to digital matched filters with a power saving function.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6075807 to Warren et al; Windowed digital matched filter.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5999562 to Hennedy et al; Power management in a digital filter.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5933447 to Tran et al; Filter with a low power requirement.
- 34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M Perilla whose telephone number is (703) 305-0374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- Tan

Jason M Perilla March 8, 2004

jmp .

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600